1 April 1982

NOTE FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT:

Proposal that the Classification Review

Division Review E.O. 12065 Mandatory

Classification Review Requests

REFERENCE:

Memorandum for D/OIS from C/CRD dated

8 October 1981, same subject (OIS 81-939)

TAT.,	1.	Per [reques tatus o finally	t of	Tuesd	lay,
50	March	, I ch	ecke	d the	e s	tatus o	f sub	ject	
pro	oposal.								
		who	had	the	fo	llowing	Comr	ments:	

This paper has been overrun by events. The proposal was put before Mr. Fitzwater who agreed with it, who in turn proposed it to Mr. McMahon who also agreed with it, but it is a political issue and Mr. McMahon is going to push for it but has not done so yet.

_	2.	The	<u>a</u> bove	informat	ion	was	furnished
STATº [on '	Thursday,	1	April	1982.

STAT

Note:

I BELIEVE THAT DIS WAS CONFUSED AT THE BETWEEN THE DECISION FOR OUR TAKING

OVER MANDATORY REVIEW, AND THAT FOR OUR ASSUMPTION OF

CONSOLIDATED MANUSCRIPT REVIEW. THIS IS THE WAY THE

CATTER PLAYED OUT.

NEVERTHELESS, I HAVE
TALKED TO DIS THIS DATE
(2 APRIL 1982) WHO CONIRMS
THAT OUR TAKING OVER MR
HAS BEEN TAGGED AS A
DEAD ISSUE & THEREFORE
NEED NOT BE ADDRESSED
IN OUR PAPER ON THE FUTURE
OF CRD. MA

AUMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE UNLY

OIS Registry

Approved For Selease 2005/12/14 : CIA-RDP85B00236B000100180011-7 8/- 939

6 October 1981

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Information Services

STAT

FROM:

Chief, Classification Review Division

SUBJECT:

Proposal that the Classification Review Division Review E.O. 12065 Mandatory Classification Review

Requests

- 1. Action Requested: Your approval is requested to initiate discussions on transferring the review of E.O. 12065 mandatory classification review requests to CRD.
- 2. Background: E.O. 12065, Section 3-501, states that, "Agencies shall establish a mandatory review procedure to handle requests by a member of the public, by a government employee, or by an agency, to declassify and release information." Mandatory classification review requests that come to the CIA usually originate from other U.S. Government agencies or from the various Presidential Libraries. The CIA documents or information are sent along with the request to IPD. The latter is responsible for determining what review is required and which components should make the review, for coordinating additional reviews as are deemed necessary during the first-round review, and finally for preparing the response that will go to to the requester or to the referring agency. The documents or information will be reviewed at least twice in each component charged in the first-round review. Those components may also determine that the material should be reviewed by other Agency elements or other government agencies and will notify IPD which then arranges the additional review. Because of the multiple component reviews, in 1978 there were 428 mandatory review requests that sought review by 768 separate components, thus requiring IPD to make 768 separate review processing actions. This ratio of 1.8 review actions for each request has remained fairly constant. The component reviews do not include the final overall review done by IPD when the response to the requester is being prepared. The volume of mandatory review requests has decreased from an average of 35.6 per month in 1978 to 20.2 per month in 1981. The impact that the future of the systematic classification review program will have on the mandatory review activity is unknown at this writing.
 - 3. Manpower Estimates: It is estimated that currently about 14 man-years are expended annually to handle mandatory review requests. About one-third of

Approved For Release 2005/12/14 : CIA-RDP85B00236R000100180017-7

	RANSMITTAL SLIP	Date	7 OCT	1981
dense, office symbol, inding, Agency/Post)	room number,		Initials	Date
/OIS			y	197
FS TYPE	A STATE OF THE STA		BO	10/
5		Santa Tarangan Santa	(4)	10/12
			h V	1,0
11	File	Note	and Retu	
rval	For Clearance		onversat	
ic quested 10.	For Correction		re Reply	
		1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Tata de la company	For Your Information	See M	le ·	
inta de la Magaza	For Your Information Investigate	See M		
instice	Investigate Justify	Signa	ture	sal
However, Done abo	Investigate Justify approval of a we should reh the level of the flevel	Signa La fal, he fal, me,	nopo t wa ? Con	the tack

STAT

I recommend approval of the proposal. First, however, we should talk with John & Don about the level of contact. How about after Fri staff mtg. That's OK with John. should notify Don.

STAT

OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-76)